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Introductory Matters

Title

Like many other Old Testament books, the title of the book is derived from its principal character. The Hebrew title is Yonah. The LXX Greek title is Ionas. The title in the Latin Vulgate is Jonas.¹

Authorship

The principal character of the book is Jonah Son of Amittai (1:1). Although not identified as the author, tradition states that this same figure also served as the book’s author.² His name means “dove.”³ While this name can refer to peace and purity, it can also refer to silliness (Hos 7:11). This later designation is probably the intended meaning of his name since he is held up throughout the book as a humorous, negative example not to be imitated by Israel. Jonah was from Gath-hepher (2 Kgs 14:25) in Zebulun (Josh 19:10, 13), which is located several miles northeast of Nazareth. Nothing is known of Jonah’s father.

Date

Although no internal chronological markers are found in the book, 2 Kgs 14:25 indicates that Jonah prophesied during the reign of Jeroboam II. Thus, the date of Jonah’s ministry can be placed somewhere in between 793–753 B.C. Therefore, Jonah prophesied after Elisha,

¹ Bruce Wilkinson and Kenneth Boa, Talk Thru the Bible (Nashville: Thomas Nelson, 1983), 256.
concurrently with Amos, and just before Hosea. While the date of Jonah’s ministry is easy to determine, the date of the writing of Jonah’s book is more difficult to ascertain.\(^4\)

Critical scholarship contends that the book was not written until after the destruction of Nineveh in 612 B.C. during the postexilic era. This view maintains that the book was written for the purpose of introducing universal concepts in order to oppose the over-zealous nationalism and ethnocentrism during the time of Ezra and Nehemiah. An anonymous author supposedly produced the book during this time in order to counter Israel’s practices of excluding the Samaritans from building the temple and divorcing their non-Jewish wives. This theory relies upon several arguments that can be rebutted.

First, critical scholars note the universal themes emphasized in the Book of Jonah. However, such an emphasis does not need to be understood as merely a rebuke to postexilic Judaism since such themes are replete throughout earlier Scripture (Gen 9:27; 12:3; Lev 19:34; 1 Sam 2:10; Joel 2:28-32; Isa 2:2). Second, critical scholars rely upon Aramaisms found throughout the Book of Jonah in order to date the book late. However, these Aramaisms appear in early as well as late Old Testament books, appear in ancient Near Eastern texts as early as 1500 B.C., and were more prevalent in the Galilean region where Jonah was from. Moreover, there is no other literary composition from Galilee that the Book of Jonah can be compared to in order to determine if Jonah contains a disproportionately larger number of Aramaisms.\(^5\)

Third, critical scholars note the emphasis that the Book of Jonah places upon preaching to the Gentiles. However, such an emphasis does not need to be understood as merely a rebuke to post-exilic Judaism since Elijah and Elisha ministered to the Gentiles a century earlier (1 Kgs

\(^4\) The following discussion was taken from Wilkinson and Boa, *Talk Thru the Bible*, 256; Stephen J. Bramer, “Jonah” (unpublished class notes in BE 2011 Seminar in Latter Prophets, Dallas Theological Seminary, Fall 2005), 1-2.

17:7-24; 2 Kgs 8:7-17) and Jonah’s contemporary Amos also spoke to the Gentile nations (Amos 1:3–2:16; 9:7, 12). Fourth, critical scholars contend that Jonah did not author the book since the writer speaks of himself in the third person. However, the third person style was common not only among biblical writers but also other writers in the ancient Near East.

Fifth, critical scholars argue that nowhere does Jonah claim to be the book’s writer. However, this contention represents an argument from silence. Sixth, critical scholars maintain that because 3:3 indicates that Nineveh “was a great city,” the book must have been written after Nineveh had fallen. However, others note that because the grammar of this verse indicates that Nineveh “had become” a great city, the verse simply teaches that the city was great at the time of the writing. Also, this text may simply indicate that someone other than Jonah wrote 3:3 at a later date.

Seventh, critical scholars point to the disunity in style between Jonah and Hosea who was another eighth century northern prophet. However, this argument rests upon the tenuous presupposition that a consistent style existed among the northern prophets. Eighth, critical scholars observe that Jonah 3:9 and 4:2 reflect Joel 2:14 and 2:13. However, this argument is without merit if Joel can be assigned a ninth century date. It may be asked of the critical scholar why Nineveh’s repentance and avoided destruction interested the author if the book was written after Nineveh was overthrown? Thus, the book may have been written sometime after 770 B.C. but before the fall of the northern kingdom in 722 B.C. All things considered, a date of 770–750 B.C. for the composition of the book would not be far from wrong.

---

7 Ellison, Jonah, 7:362.
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Recipients

Because Jonah ministered under the reign of Jeroboam II who was king over Israel (2 Kgs 14:25), it is safe to conclude that Jonah ministered to the northern kingdom. While the subject matter of the book concerns the Assyrians, Israel is the recipient of the book. Thus, Geisler notes, “Jonah wrote to his proud and patriotic fellow-countrymen who were already under heavy taxation by the notoriously evil Assyrian empire.”⁹ The Jewish focus of the book is evidenced by the fact that it is included in the Hebrew canon.¹⁰

Historical Background¹¹

Jonah’s ministry took place during the kingship of Jeroboam II of Israel (2 Kgs 14:25) who reigned from 793 to 753 B.C. Jeroboam II ended ongoing conflict between Israel and Damascus by restoring Israel’s traditional borders. Prior to this enlargement of borders, Israel’s size had been minimized and Damascus controlled the internal affairs of the nation (2 Kgs 13:7). However, the Assyrian weakening of Damascus (797 B.C.) gave Jehoash, king of Israel, the opportunity to recover territory initially lost to Damascus (2 Kgs 13:25). Subsequent Assyrian internal turmoil gave Jeroboam II further opportunity to restore Israel’s northern borders.

Elisha had predicted Israel’s victory over Damascus (2 Kgs 13:14-19). Jonah similarly predicted Jeroboam’s victory (2 Kgs 14:25). However, Israel’s victories caused her to become prideful (Amos 5:18-20; 6:1). Assyria remained a northern threat to Israel during this era and was destined to conquer Israel in 722 B.C. The Assyrians were well known for their brutality.

Although Nineveh would not become the capital of the Assyrian empire until the kingship of Sennacherib (706–681 B.C.),¹² Nineveh represented the largest city in the Assyrian

---

¹¹ This section is adapted from ibid., 2-3.
empire in the time of Jonah. Nineveh, which was located in northern Mesopotamia east of the Tigris River, was eventually destroyed in 612 B.C. The various kings of Assyria during Jonah’s era include Ashur Dan III (773–755 B.C.), Adad-nirari III (810–783 B.C.), Shalmaneser IV (782–773 B.C.), Ahur-nirari (754–745 B.C.). “The repentance of Nineveh probably occurred during the reign of Ashur-dan III (773–755 B.C.). Two plagues (765 and 759 B.C.) and a solar eclipse (759 B.C.) may have prepared the people for Jonah’s message of judgment.”

Historicity of the Book of Jonah

Critical scholars have dismissed the Book of Jonah’s historicity. Yet several reasons should cause interpreters to take the book of Jonah as narrative history. First, the book presents its material in the form of normative history. There is not the slightest hint that any of its personages or places are fictional. Second, the book gives specific historical referents such as Jonah the Son of Amittai (1:1). Third, Jewish tradition accepts the book as historical.

Fourth, Christ believed both the story of Jonah and the fish as well as the repentance of Nineveh were events that transpired in history (Matt 12:38-42; Luke 11:29-32). Christ even went so far as to tie the cardinal doctrine of the resurrection (1 Cor 15:14) to the historicity of the story of Jonah and the fish. Interestingly, the other Old Testament characters that Christ mentions are normally taken as historical. Examples include Elijah (Matt 17:11-12), Elisha (Luke 4:27), and Isaiah (Matt 15:7). Why should Jonah be the one exception to this rule?

Fifth, because divine miracles had already been well established in Exodus and 1–2 Kings, it should not be surprising to see miracles emphasized in the Book of Jonah. Sixth, although they often selectively used history in order to shape their individual theological

---

12 The capital city of Assyria in Jonah’s day was Calah. This city was located twenty-five miles southeast of Nineveh.
13 Wilkinson and Boa, Talk Thru the Bible, 257.
purposes, Old Testament writers, especially the prophets, had a great concern for historical accuracy. Seventh, according to Ecclesiasticus 49:10, Ben Sira accepted Jonah as belonging to the Twelve Minor prophets in 190 B.C. This categorization stood the test of time. No Rabbis ever doubted or challenged the book’s canonicity. Thus, Jonah’s longstanding canonicity would never have occurred if the book abounded with historical inaccuracies.\textsuperscript{14}

Eighth, some have noticed a similarity between Jonah and Elijah since both ministered around the same time and to the same nation. Also, God commissioned both and both sought death (Jonah 4:8; 1 Kgs 19:4). Thus, if Elijah is a literal character, then so must Jonah be a person of history. Ninth, Jonah 2:2-9 is reminiscent of many other Psalms.\textsuperscript{15} Thus, one’s conclusion upon the historicity of Jonah 2 will automatically influence one’s attitude toward many other Psalms. Tenth, the historical books indicate that Jonah was a historical figure (2 Kgs 14:25).

Despite the historicity of Jonah, critical scholars rely upon several arguments in order to de-historicize the book. However, these arguments are answerable.\textsuperscript{16} First, the critics argue that it is impossible for a man to survive after being swallowed by a giant fish. However, there have been many historical examples where this very thing has happened.\textsuperscript{17} Second, the critics argue that Jonah 3:3 incorrectly refers to the king of Nineveh rather than the king of Assyria. However, as mentioned previously, Nineveh would not become the capital of Assyria until 50 years after Jonah visited that city. Furthermore, in the Old Testament, kings were often referred to by the city that they ruled (1 Kgs 21:1; 2 Kgs 3:9, 12; 2 Chr 24:23). Third, the critics contend that the population of the city of Nineveh is too large for a city of that

\textsuperscript{14} Ellison, \textit{Jonah}, 7:364.
\textsuperscript{15} For a helpful chart showing how Jonah 2 is employed in other Psalms, see Ibid.
\textsuperscript{17} J. Carl Laney, \textit{Answers to Tough Questions: A Survey of Problem Passages and Issues from Every Book of the Bible} (Kregel: Grand Rapids, 1997), 163-64.
time (3:3; 4:11). However, this argument is rebutted by archeological excavations indicating that Nineveh was 8 miles in circumference and capable of housing at least 175,000 people, by understanding that the half-size neighboring city of Nimrud was capable of accommodating 69,574 people, and by understanding that the reference to the three days journey (3:3) is not a reference to the breadth of the city but rather to the thirty to sixty mile administrative district. Regarding this latter point, it is possible that Jonah could have entered the city to preach at various junctures rather than to walk as far as possible for each of the three days.

Fourth, the critics argue that it would be impossible for an unknown Hebrew prophet to have successfully converted an entire major Assyrian city. Archer’s response is appropriate.

“Well, it must be admitted that such a ready response from a pagan populace was nothing short of miraculous, but the narrative makes it plain that the will and power of God Almighty were behind the whole enterprise. There would have been little point to God’s insistence that Jonah go to Nineveh unless He Himself was prepared to make the prophet’s preaching effectual. Who can define the power of the Holy Spirit in bringing men under conviction when His truth is preached?”

Fifth, critics also point out the absurdity of a plant that rapidly grows and decays. However, this objection betrays an anti-supernatural presuppositional bias.

**Genre**

About 40 verses of the book consist of narrative material (1:1–2:1; 2:10–4:11). The rest of the book consists of the psalmic genre (2:2-9). This psalm has been called both a psalm of deliverance and a declarative praise psalm. A larger issue involves the literary form of the entire book. Scholars have proposed various forms. The first four of these forms deny the historicity of the book.

---

First, some call the Book of Jonah an allegory. Thus, the book’s characters and places correspond to spiritual concepts rather than historical facts. Under this rubric, “Jonah” represents Israel’s reluctance to accept outsiders into the covenant community rather than a literal person, “Nineveh” represents the Gentiles rather than an actual city, the three days in the belly of the whale refer to the length of Babylonian captivity rather than an actual experience, the whale represents the fact of the Babylonian captivity rather than a literal animal, and Jonah’s message represents God’s love for the Gentiles rather than a specific message. “This interpretation may have arisen because ‘Jonah’ means ‘dove,’ and the Jews had long regarded the dove as a symbol of their nation (cf. Ps. 74:19; Hos. 11:11). Those who adopt this view see the book as teaching Israel’s mission and failure in being God’s missionary agent to the Gentiles.”

However, this view suffers since the Book of Jonah is filled with too much detail and specific information for it to be understood as a mere allegory. Although great spiritual truths can be drawn from this book, this does not negate its historicity. Furthermore, other biblical allegories are overtly identified (Gal 4:24) and such a conspicuous designation seems to be lacking here.

Second, others call the Book of Jonah a parable, which is a non-historical story told for the purpose of accomplishing a didactic propose. In this case, the point of the story would be to rebut ethnocentrism in favor of universalism. However, this view is unlikely. Scriptural parables are typically identified with the word “parable” which is not the case here. Also, parables do not typically use specific people and places and yet the Book of Jonah does refer to actual people and places. Moreover, it is improbable that a parable would comprise an entire biblical book.

Third, some regard the book of Jonah as a mere fictional story teaching a moral or spiritual lesson. However, the book presents itself as giving normative history. Fourth, others

---

regard the book as symbolic. Thus, “the author employs symbols to communicate the message. The three days and three nights in the fish is symbolic of Jonah’s visit to Nineveh. His being vomited out is symbolic of leaving the repentant city.” However, when symbols are used in scripture, the text usually makes it clear that symbols are being associated with specific referents (Gal 4:25; Dan 2:38; Rev 11:8). All of these non-historical approaches to the book suffer from the same problems involving a denial of the book’s historicity identified in the preceding section.

Others simply categorize the Book of Jonah as historical narrative. However, this designation fails to consider why the book is categorized in the prophetic section of the Hebrew canon. It is best to categorize the book as a prophetic parable. This approach honors the historicity of the book as well as its position in the Hebrew canon. It also honors the great spiritual lesson taught in the book regarding God’s grace and Israel’s narrowness conveyed through the folly of its central character Jonah.

**Message**

God’s redemptive purposes are not limited to national Israel but rather extend to all the nations of the earth through Israel (Gen 12:3). God reminds Israel of this forgotten purpose during a time of pride and intense nationalism associated with Jeroboam’s successful expansion of Israel’s borders. This reminder is communicated through the foolish (Hos 7:11), sinful, and disobedient actions and attitudes of Jonah the prophet whose attitudes were a microcosm of ethnocentric Israel.

**Purposes**

---

22 Ibid.
The writer had at least two purposes in mind when he wrote his book. First, he wanted to challenge Israel’s ethnocentrism by reminding her that her very reason for existing was to export God’s grace to the nations (Gen 12:3). Second, he wanted to stimulate national repentance by demonstrating that if the wicked Ninevites were capable of repentance and receiving forgiveness, then so was God’s elect nation. This goal of encouraging national repentance was relevant given the rapidly approaching national judgment to be executed in 722 B.C.

Theological Themes

Several theological themes recur throughout the Book of Jonah. First, the book stresses the sovereignty of God. God is constantly portrayed as taking unilateral actions throughout the book (1:1-2, 4, 17; 2:10; 3:2, 10; 4:6, 7, 8, 11). Second, because God is sovereign, He has the unilateral right to lavish compassion on whomever He wishes. Thus, God is not limited by human misconceptions when He bestows such compassion.

Third, the book stresses the “all nations” theme (1:2, 10, 14-16; 2:8; 3:1-2, 5-10; 4:2, 10-11) that is revealed in the Abrahamic Covenant (Gen 12:3). Because this theme is the very reason for Israel’s existence, she had no right to withhold God’s light from other nations on account of their barbarism. In other words, God does not sanction ethnocentrism and patriotism at the expense of evangelism. Fourth, the book stresses God’s compassion and mercy toward those who do not know Him (Exod 34:6).

Unique Characteristics

---

24 For a chart tracing this theme throughout Scripture, see Bramer, “Jonah,” 4.
25 Many of these characteristics were taken from Wilkinson and Boa, Talk Thru the Bible, 258; John D. Hannah, “Bible Knowledge Commentary,” in Bible Knowledge Commentary, ed. John F. Walvoord and Roy B. Zuck (Colorado Springs, CO: Chariot Victor, 1983), 1461.
The Book of Jonah boasts several outstanding characteristics. First, the book is unique among the prophetic books in that it gives the mission of a prophet without little attention to his prophecies. In fact only 5 words of the book’s 48 verses focus on Jonah’s prophecies. Second, Christ holds out one of the book’s key events as an illustration of His death and resurrection (Matt 12:38-42). Third, the book gives no internal clues as to who its author was. Fourth, the book’s central character is held up as a negative example that the nation is not to imitate. Fifth, little is known of the background of the prophet featured in this book.

Sixth, the Book of Jonah, along with Obadiah and Nahum, represents one of the few Old Testament books whose main subject matter concerns the Gentiles. Seventh, Jonah is the only Old Testament prophet who was sent to the Gentiles. Eighth, Jonah is the only prophet who sought to hide his message by fleeing from God. Ninth, Jonah represents the only example of a “successful” prophet. Tenth, more than any other prophetic book, the Book of Jonah unfolds God’s universal concern for the entire human race.

Structure

The book of Jonah has two basic parts. In the first part, Jonah rebels against his first commission to preach to Nineveh. This section primarily takes place in the theatre of the great sea (1–2). In the second part, Jonah, with reticence, fulfills his second commission to preach to Nineveh. This section takes place in the theatre of the great city known as Nineveh (3–4). The book’s four chapters can be further divided into the running prophet (1), the praying prophet (2), the preaching prophet (3), and the pouting prophet (4). In each chapter, Jonah said, “I will not go” (1), “I will go” (2), “I went” (3), and “I should not have gone” (4).26 Other structural clues

26 Wilkinson and Boa, Talk Thru the Bible, 255.
may include Jonah’s three confessions (1:9; 2:9; 4:2) as well as two cycles with each cycle containing the two calls of Jonah and Nineveh and the two responses of Nineveh and Jonah.27

**Christ in Jonah**

“Jonah’s experience is a type of the death, burial, and resurrection of Christ” (Matt 12:39-41).28

**Application**

Although the Book of Jonah is an indictment against the ethnocentricity of Israel, such narrowness is not just something that ancient Israel had to deal with. It is also an issue that all of God’s people throughout the ages must wrestle with. God’s people always run the risk of becoming so internally focused that they lose sight of the plight of the lost. Other points of application from the Book of Jonah include the following. “1. It is impossible to succeed in running from God. 2. There is no limit to what God can do to get one’s attention. 3. Failure does not disqualify a person from God’s service. 4. Disobedience to God creates turmoil in the life of a believer. 5. Patriotism should never stand between a believer and the plan of God.”29

**Outline**

I. Jonah disobeys his divine commission to preach to Nineveh (1-2)
   A. Running prophet (1)
      1. Jonah's commission (1:1-2)
      2. Jonah flees to Tarshish (1:3)
      3. Jonah and his shipmates are caught in a storm (1:4-6)
      4. Jonah exposed as the culprit (1:7-9)
      5. Jonah is thrown overboard (1:10-16)
      6. Jonah is swallowed by a giant fish (1:17)
   B. Praying prophet (2)
      1. Introduction (2:1)

29 Ibid., 258.
2. Jonah's psalm of deliverance (2:2-9)
   a) Jonah calls out to God (2:2)
   b) Jonah recounts his adversities (2:3-6)
   c) Report of Jonah's prayer to God (2:7)
   d) Jonah praises God (2:8-9)
3. Jonah is delivered from the belly of the fish (2:10)

II. Jonah reluctantly obeys his divine commission to preach to Nineveh (3-4)
A. Preaching prophet (3)
   1. Jonah's re-commission (3:1-2)
   2. Jonah's proclamation to Nineveh (3:3-4)
   3. Nineveh's repentance (3:5-10)
B. Pouting prophet (4)
   1. Jonah's unhappiness with God regarding the extension of mercy to Nineveh (4:1-4)
   2. God rebukes Jonah's misplaced priorities (4:5-11)
      a) Jonah sulks under the plant (4:5-6)
      b) Jonah wishes for death after the plant dies (4:7-8)
      c) Jonah asserts a right to anger (4:9)
      d) Jonah hears the merciful proclamation of God (4:10-11)

Argument

Throughout the Book of Jonah, the writer draws attention to the absurdity of Jonah’s ethnocentrism in order to counter a similar characteristic exhibited by Israel during the nationalistic and patriotic time of Jeroboam II. Jonah’s ethnocentrism is brought out in the first two chapters through the record of Jonah’s disobedience to the divine commission to preach to Nineveh (1–2). The first chapter records how Jonah fled from his divinely given mandate to preach to Nineveh (1).

God’s heart for the lost of Nineveh is seen in His commission to send Jonah to that city (1:1-2). God’s desire in this instance was to see Israel fulfill its purpose of ministering to the Gentiles (Gen 12:3). However, instead of obeying the divine commission to preach to Nineveh, Jonah flees in the opposite direction to Tarshish. Instead of obeying God by traveling 500 miles northeast to Nineveh, he instead opts to disobey God by traveling 2000 miles west to Spain.30 Such behavior shows the effort that Jonah had to exhibit in order to disobey God. Although

30 Ibid. For a helpful map showing the location of both Nineveh and Tarshish, see Charles C. Ryrie, The Ryrie Study Bible: New American Standard Bible (Chicago: Moody, 1995), 1420.
humorous, this illustration is no laughing matter for Israel since her excessive ethnocentrism is also causing her to rebel against her God-given calling to minister to the surrounding nations.

Because of God’s unwillingness to allow Jonah to escape his calling, He subdues his ship by sending a storm. Israel should take note of this since God will similarly use drastic means in order to get her to fulfill her calling of ministering to the nations. The paganism of the sailors is apparent as each was calling upon their own god in an attempt to be rescued from the storm (1:4-6). God’s willingness to even use the pagan practices of the sailors in order to reveal Jonah as the culprit of the storm demonstrates the radical steps that He will take in order to prevent Jonah and Israel from escaping their calling to the nations (1:7-9).

After being exposed, Jonah patriotically identifies himself as a Jew and as a servant of Yahweh, who is the creator of all things (1:9). This verse shows Jonah’s excessive patriotism that was getting in the way of his recognition of his mission to the Gentiles. While Jonah was a literalist in terms of his protology (Gen 1–2), he apparently was not a literalist regarding his calling to the nations (Gen 12:3). Jonah’s folly in this regard is inserted in order to show Israel that her patriotism was preventing her from fulfilling the all nations mandate associated with the Abrahamic Covenant. It is not enough for Israel to take seriously the doctrine of creation. The nation must also take seriously her divinely given mission of exporting God’s grace to all the nations of the earth.

Jonah is then thrown overboard (1:10–16). This section is included to contrast the sailors’ spirituality with Jonah’s insensitivity to the plight of the nations. The sailors’ spirituality is seen in their rebuke of Jonah for fleeing from God, their association of the storm with Jonah’s rebellion (1:10), their reluctance to throw Jonah into the sea, their attempt to “out row” the storm in order to save Jonah’s life (1:12-13), their seemingly sudden conversion to monotheism, their
prayer to God (1:14), their fear of God, and their sacrifices that they offered up to God (1:16). Thus, the pagan sailors demonstrated more of an understanding concerning the things of God than did God’s own prophet from His elect nation. The irony here is that while Jonah exhibited an unmerciful attitude toward Gentile Nineveh, the Gentile sailors demonstrated mercy toward Jonah by exhausting every means possible before casting him into the sea. Because Jonah is a microcosm of Israel, these events convey to the nation her own spiritual dullness since she too has allowed patriotism and nationalism to interfere with her universal mission.

God continues to use radical measures in order to prevent Jonah from escaping his calling to the Gentiles. Thus, He allows a giant fish to swallow Jonah (1:17). This event introduces even more irony. While the pagan sailors had already experienced God’s grace, God’s own prophet was languishing in the belly of the whale. This event communicates to Israel the means that God will employ on their behalf in an attempt to induce them toward fulfilling their calling of ministering to the entire world.

Being swallowed by the fish had the effect of humbling Jonah thereby causing him to pray a prayer of deliverance (2:1-9). God then extricated Jonah from the belly of the fish (2:10). Only through divine discipline did Jonah become concerned of His calling to the Gentiles. Since Jonah is a microcosm of Israel, these events convey to the nation that God will similarly allow her to experience the rod of divine discipline until she places her divine calling to reach the world with the message of God’s grace above her own hyper-nationalism.

Jonah’s re-commissioning (3:1-2) again shows God’s unwillingness to allow Jonah to escape his prophetic calling of ministering to the Ninevites. Rebellion and disobedience cannot cancel this calling. Similarly, Israel’s wayward state will not allow her to escape her mission to the world. God will continue pressuring Israel until she acquiesces and fulfills her assignment.
The writer then records Jonah’s brief message to the Ninevites (3:3-4) as well as Nineveh’s instantaneous and extensive repentance (3:5-10). Jonah’s terse message resulting in the immediate response of the Ninevites stands in contrast to Jonah’s willingness to repent only after being severely disciplined. In other words, while Jonah’s repentance only occurred after a prolonged process, the Ninevites’ repentance was instantaneous after merely being exposed to a brief message. Thus, the irony of this situation is that the repentance of God’s own prophet from God’s elect nation was harder to induce than the repentance of the cruel, Gentile Ninevites.

Not only does the writer point out the speed of the Ninevites’ repentance, but he also draws attention to the comprehensiveness and genuineness of their repentance. The Ninevites’ repentance touched all in the city (3:5). It even impacted the animal kingdom (3:7). By contrast, Jonah’s repentance was somewhat superficial since the events of chapter 4 expose his sorrow at having gone to Nineveh. Thus, the material of chapter 3 teaches the same lesson as exemplified by the sailors in chapter 1. The truth being communicated in both chapters is that the pagans demonstrated more spiritual sensitivity than God’s own prophet. Because Jonah’s attitudes epitomized those of Israel, his foolishness stands as a sharp rebuke to the nation’s own spiritual callousness and forgetfulness of its global calling.

Jonah’s rebellion against God’s universal purposes continues to be highlighted in the next chapter (4). The chapter begins with Jonah’s displeasure with God at extending grace to the Ninevites. Jonah also acknowledged that he was reluctant to go to Nineveh initially for fear that God would manifest His core attribute of mercy toward the inhabitants of the city (4:1-4). These are startling admissions. For one thing it is amazing for a prophet of God to be upset about anyone’s repentance. Ironically, while the wicked Ninevites had experienced God’s grace, God’s own prophet was miserable. For another thing, it is astounding for a prophet to not want God to
manifest one of His key attributes when such an attribute is foundational to the nation’s own
coventional structure (Exod 34:6). Because Jonah is a mere microcosm of Israel’s beliefs and
attitudes at the time of these events, Israel had become so inwardly focused that she had
completely lost sight of the very covenant that her existence was based upon.

The book concludes with the story of Jonah beneath the unpredictable plant (4:5-11).
This section begins with Jonah sitting east of the city so that he could see what would happen to
it. Because he may have been positioning himself to get a clear view of the divine judgment that
he still expected to be executed upon the city, this action again betrays Jonah’s unmerciful
attitude toward the Ninevites. Ironically, Jonah cannot wait to see Nineveh destroyed even
though he himself had been the recipient of divine mercy back in chapter 2. Similarly, Israel,
who also had been the product of God’s grace, was reluctant to see God’s grace extended to the
surrounding nations.

When the plant arose and protected Jonah from the scorching heat, he was happy.
However, when the plant eroded thereby exposing Jonah to the scorching heat he was sorrowful
even to the point of desiring death. God uses these circumstances to make Jonah aware of his
misplaced priorities. While he was concerned for his own physical comfort even to the point of
desiring death when his comfort needs went unmet (4:3, 8), he was similarly unconcerned
regarding the destiny of an entire city. Because Jonah was a microcosm of wayward Israel, this
final paragraph is inserted to rebuke the nation for its wrong priorities. While the Israelites had
been comforted through the various privileges that had been afforded to them as God’s covenant
people, they had forgotten that God had created Israel for the purpose of reaching the nations
with His grace.
In conclusion, Jonah represents the folly of a prophet of God who had become so patriotic and ethnocentric that he had lost sight of his nation’s missionary purpose. While the readers of the Book of Jonah can laugh along with the prophet’s folly (Hos 7:11), they should also remember that his attitudes were representative of the nationalistic attitudes that were prevalent during the prosperous reign of Jeroboam II. Thus, the audience should not imitate Jonah’s negative example. Rather, they should embrace Israel’s high calling of exporting God’s grace to the Gentiles (Gen 12:3).
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